



Docket No.: 95-466

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

**EXPEDITED PROCEDURE UNDER
37 CFR §1.116**

SANKAR et al.

Serial No.: 09/921,780

Group Art Unit: 2178

Filed: August 6, 2001

Examiner: STORK, Kyle

For: NETWORK ROUTER CONFIGURED FOR EXECUTING NETWORK OPERATIONS
BASED ON PARSING XML TAGS IN A RECEIVED XML DOCUMENT

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PLEASE
ENTER.
18 1/30/05

Sir:

In response to the Final Action mailed October 20, 2005, Applicant submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-reference application are respectfully requested. Claims 1, 4-14, 17-27, 30-40, and 43-52 are pending in the application.

The rejection of claims 1, 4-14, 17-27, 30-40 and 43-52 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abjanic as applied to claims 1, 14, 27 and 40, in view of U.S. Patent Pub No. 2002/0198974 (Shafer) is respectfully traversed.

Further, Applicant objects to the Final Action as incomplete, because it fails to answer all material traversed. (See MPEP §707.07(f) "Where the applicant traverses any rejection, the examiner should, if he or she repeats the rejection, take note of the applicant's argument and *answer the substance of it.*").

Response After Final filed December 20, 2005

Appln. No. 09/921,780

Page 1